arXiv:1409.0157v1 [math.OA] 30 Aug 2014

FINITENESS PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN TOPOLOGICAL GRAPH ALGEBRAS

CHRISTOPHER P. SCHAFHAUSER

ABSTRACT. Let $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be a topological graph with no sinks such that E^0 and E^1 are compact. We show that when $C^*(E)$ is finite, there is a natural isomorphism $C^*(E) \cong C(E^\infty) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where E^∞ is the infinite path space of E and the action is given by the backwards shift on E^∞. Combining this with a result of Pimsner, we show the properties of being AF-embeddable, quasidiagonal, stably finite, and finite are equivalent for $C^*(E)$ and can be characterized by a natural "combinatorial" condition on E.

1. INTRODUCTION

A topological graph $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ is a directed graph such that the vertex set E^0 and the edge set E^1 are both locally compact Hausdorff spaces and the range and source maps $r, s : E^1 \to E^0$ satisfy appropriate continuity conditions (see Section 3). A graph can be viewed as a generalization of a dynamical system where the graph E is thought of as a partially defined, multi-valued, continuous map $E^0 \to E^0$ given by $v \mapsto r(s^{-1}(v)) \subseteq E^0$ for every $v \in s(E^0) \subseteq E^0$.

In [8, 9, 10, 11], Katsura constructs a C^*-algebra $C^*(E)$ from a topological graph E and gives a very detailed analysis of these C^*-algebras. This construction generalizes both discrete graph algebras and homeomorphism C^*-algebras. As with discrete graphs, the structure of the graph algebra $C^*(E)$ is closely related to the structure of the underlying graph E. For instance, the ideal structure, K-theory, simplicity, and pure infiniteness of $C^*(E)$ can all be described in terms of the graph E. There are also generalizations of the Cuntz-Krieger and Gauge Invariant Uniqueness Theorems for topological graphs.

Although the class of C^*-algebras which are defined by discrete graphs is fairly limited, it seems that many interesting C^*-algebras (especially those in the classification program) appear as topological graph algebras. For instance, every crossed product $C_0(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, every UCT Kirchberg algebra, and every AF-algebra appear as topological graph algebras. In fact, there does not seem to be any known nuclear UCT C^*-algebras which do not arise as a topological graph algebras, although it seems unlikely that every nuclear UCT C^*-algebra will have this form. For instance, in view of Theorem 6.7 below, it seems plausible that a finite C^*-algebra that is not stably finite will not be a topological graph algebra.

We are interested in the finiteness properties of $C^*(E)$. In particular, when is $C^*(E)$ AF-embeddable, quasidiagonal, stable finite, or finite? A C^*-algebra is called

Date: September 2, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L05.
Key words and phrases. Graph Algebras, Topological Graphs, Cuntz-Pimsner Algebras, AF-Embeddable, Quasidiagonal, Stably Finite.

quasidiagonal if there is a net of completely positive contractive maps $\varphi_n : A \to F_n$ where each F_n is a finite dimensional C^*-algebra and the φ_n are asymptotically isometric and multiplicative; i.e. for every $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\|\varphi_n(ab) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b)\| \to 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|\varphi(a_n)\| \to \|a\|.$$

It follows from Arveson's Extension Theorem that every AF-algebra is quasidiagonal. Subalgebras of quasidiagonal C^*-algebras are certainly quasidiagonal and hence every AF-embeddable C^*-algebra is quasidiagonal. Moreover, it is well known that quasidiagonal C^*-algebras are stably finite ([3, Proposition 7.1.15]).

The converses are known to be false. In particular, by a result of Choi ([4, Theorem 7]), $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is quasidiagonal (in fact the φ_n may be chosen to be multiplicative) but $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is not AF-embeddable since it is not exact ([16]). Similarly, if G is a non-amenable group, then $C_r^*(G)$ is not quasidiagonal by a result of Rosenberg ([5]), but it is well known that $C_r^*(G)$ is stably finite since it has a faithful trace. Blackadar and Kirchberg conjectured in [1] that the converses may be true with some amenability assumptions. In particular, they conjecture AF-embeddability, quasidiagonality, and stable finiteness are equivalent for nuclear C^*-algebras. The main result of [15] verifies this conjecture for graph C^*-algebras. Also, the following result from Pimsner verifies the conjecture for certain crossed products.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 9 in [12])**.** *Suppose X is a compact metric space and f is a homeomorphism of X. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *$C(X) \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}$ is AF-embeddable;*
2. *$C(X) \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}$ is quasidiagonal;*
3. *$C(X) \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}$ is stably finite;*
4. *$C(X) \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}$ is finite;*
5. *Every point in X is pseudoperiodic for f: given $x_1 \in X$, there are points $x_2, \ldots, x_n \in X$ such that $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where the subscripts are taken modulo n.*

There is a similar theorem of N. Brown for crossed products of AF-algebras by $\mathbb{Z}$ and there are partial results for many other crossed products (see [2]). See [3, Chapters 7 and 8] for a survey of quasidiagonality and AF-embeddability. Our goal in this paper is to prove a version of Theorem 1.1 for the C^*-algebra $C^*(E)$ generated by a compact topological graph E with no sinks (Theorem 6.7). We show that if $C^*(E)$ is finite, then E has no sources and every vertex in E emits exactly one edge. In this case, $C^*(E) \cong C(E^\infty) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where E^∞ is the space of all infinite paths in E and the actions is given by the backward shift on E^∞ (Theorems 6.1 and 6.4). Combining this with Theorem 1.1 will give our result.

Pimsner's proof that (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) in Theorem 1.1 involves decomposing X into its orbits (viewed as discrete spaces) and representing the elements of $C(X)$ as weighted bilateral shifts on the ℓ^2 spaces of these orbits. Our techniques are similar but are more involved since a topological graph can be significantly more complicated than a dynamical system. Given an infinite path α in a topological graph E, we define a directed tree Γ_α which is thought of as the orbit of α. In Section 5 we modify a construction of Katsura to represent $C^*(E)$ on $\ell^2(\Gamma_\alpha)$ by viewing the elements of $C_0(E^0)$ as diagonal operators and the elements of $C_c(E^1)$ as weighted shifts on $\ell^2(\Gamma_\alpha)$. Weighted shifts on directed trees were defined and extensively studied in [6]. In particular, it was shown that the Fredholm theory of weighted shifts on directed a tree is closely related to the combinatorial structure of the tree (see Corollary 4.11).

This allows us to relate the finiteness of $C^*(E)$ to the "combinatorial" structure of the infinite path space E^∞ and hence also to the graph E.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we recall the necessary definitions and results about Cuntz-Pimsner algebras and topological graph algebras. Section 4 contains the necessary results from [6] on weighted shifts on directed trees. In Section 5, we build representations of topological graph algebras on weighted trees, and finally Section 6 contains our main results.

We will adopt the following conventions throughout the paper. For graph algebras, we follow the conventions in [14]. In particular, the partial isometries go in the same direction as the edges. We assume C^*-algebras are separable, spaces and second countable, and graphs are countable. If X is a set, let $(\delta_x)_{x\in X}$ denote the standard orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(X)$. That is, for $x \in X$, $\delta_x \in \ell^2(X)$ is given by $\delta_x(x) = 1$ and $\delta_x(y) = 0$ when $x \neq y$.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his advisors Allan Donsig and David Pitts for their encouragement and for pointing out several errors in earlier versions of this paper.

2. Cuntz-Pimsner Algebras

Cuntz-Pimsner algebras were introduced by Pimsner in [13] and were expanded on by Katsura in [7]. This class of algebras was further studied in [14, Chapter 8] and [3, Section 4.6]. For the readers convenience, we recall the necessary definitions.

A (*right*) *Hilbert module* over a C^*-algebra A is a right A-module X together with an *inner product* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : X \times X \to A$ such that for every $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in X$, $a \in A$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

(1) $\langle\xi,\xi\rangle \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $\xi = 0$,
(2) $\langle\xi, \alpha\eta + \zeta\rangle = \alpha\langle\xi,\eta\rangle + \langle\xi,\zeta\rangle$,
(3) $\langle\xi,\eta a\rangle = \langle\xi,\eta\rangle a$, and
(4) $\langle\xi,\eta\rangle^* = \langle\eta,\xi\rangle$,

and X is complete with respect to the norm $\|\xi\|^2 = \|\langle\xi,\xi\rangle\|$.

An operator $T : X \to X$ is called *adjointable* if there is an operator $T^* : X \to X$ such that

$$\langle\xi, T\eta\rangle = \langle T^*\xi, \eta\rangle$$

for every $\xi, \eta \in X$. If T is adjointable, then T^* is unique, and T and T^* are both A-linear and bounded. The collection $\mathbb{B}(X)$ of all adjointable operators on X is a C^*-algebra. A C^*-*correspondence* over A is a Hilbert A-module X together with a *-homomorphism $\lambda : A \to \mathbb{B}(X)$. We often write $\lambda(a)(\xi) = a\xi$ for $a \in A$ and $\xi \in X$.

A *Toeplitz representation* of X on a C^*-algebra B is a pair (π, τ) where $\pi : A \to B$ is a *-homomorphism, $\tau : X \to B$ is a linear map such that for every $a \in A$ and $\xi, \eta \in X$,

$$\tau(a\xi) = \pi(a)\tau(\xi) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau(\xi)^*\tau(\eta) = \pi(\langle\xi,\eta\rangle).$$

Note that for every $a \in A$ and $\xi \in X$, we have $\tau(\xi a) = \tau(\xi)\pi(a)$ since

$$\|\tau(\xi a) - \tau(\xi)\pi(a)\|^2 = \|\pi(\langle\xi a, \xi a\rangle - \langle\xi a, \xi\rangle a - a^*\langle\xi,\xi a\rangle + a^*\langle\xi,\xi\rangle a)\|^2 = 0$$

Moreover, the computation

$$\|\tau(\xi)\|^2 = \|\tau(\xi)^*\tau(\xi)\| = \|\pi(\langle\xi,\xi\rangle)\| \leq \|\langle\xi,\xi\rangle\| = \|\xi\|^2,$$

implies $\|\tau\| \leq 1$ and if π is injective, then τ is isometric. Let $\mathcal{T}(X)$ be the universal C^*-algebra generated by a Toeplitz representation of X. More precisely, $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is a C^*-algebra together with a Toeplitz representation $(\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{\tau})$ of X on $\mathcal{T}(X)$ such that for any other Toeplitz representation (π, τ) on a C^*-algebra B, there is a unique morphism $\psi : \mathcal{T}(X) \to B$ such that $\psi \circ \widetilde{\pi} = \pi$ and $\psi \circ \widetilde{\tau} = \tau$.



The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is called the *Toeplitz-Pimsner algebra* associated to X.

For $\xi, \eta \in X$, define $\theta_{\xi,\eta} = \xi\langle\eta, \cdot\rangle : X \to X$ and let $\mathbb{K}(X)$ be the norm closed span of the $\theta_{\xi,\eta}$ in $\mathbb{B}(X)$. Then $\mathbb{K}(X)$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{B}(X)$ and given a Toeplitz representation (π, τ) of X on B, there is a unique *-homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{K}(X) \to B$ such that $\varphi(\theta_{\xi,\eta}) = \tau(\xi)\tau(\eta)^*$ for every $\xi, \eta \in X$. Moreover, for each $a \in A$, $k \in \mathbb{K}(X)$, and $\xi \in X$,

$$\pi(a)\varphi(k) = \varphi(\lambda(a)k), \quad \varphi(k)\pi(a) = \varphi(k\lambda(a)), \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(k)\tau(\xi) = \tau(k\xi).$$

If π is injective, then φ is also injective.

If X is a C^*-correspondence over A, define an ideal $J_X \subseteq A$ by

$$J_X = \lambda^{-1}(\mathbb{K}(X)) \cap \{a \in A : ab = 0 \text{ for every } b \in \ker(\lambda)\}.$$

A Toeplitz representation (π, τ) is *covariant* if for every $a \in J_X$, $\varphi(\lambda(a)) = \pi(a)$. Let $\mathcal{O}(X)$ denote the universal C^*-algebra generated by a covariant Toeplitz representation of X as with the Toeplitz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{T}(X)$. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is called the *Cuntz-Pimsner algebra* associated to X.

It can be shown that the Cuntz-Pimnser algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ always exists and the canonical maps $\pi : A \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\tau : X \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ are injective and hence isometric. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is unique up to a canonical isomorphism and is generated as a C^*-algebra by $\pi(A)$ and $\tau(A)$. The same is true for the Toeplitz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{T}(X)$. There are also concrete descriptions of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}(X)$ (see [3, Section 4.6] for example), but for our purposes, the abstract definition is more helpful.

3. Topological Graphs

In this section, we recall the necessary material from topological graphs. All the material is taken from [8].

A *topological graph* $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ consists of locally compact second countable spaces E^i and continuous maps $r, s : E^1 \to E^0$ such that s is a local homeomorphism; i.e. for every $e \in E^1$, there is an open neighborhood $U \subseteq E^1$ of e such that $s|_U$ is a homeomorphism of U onto $s(U) \subseteq E^0$ and $s(U)$ is an open neighborhood of $s(e)$. It can be shown that a local homeomorphism is always an open map.

Suppose E is a topological graph. Given $a \in C_0(E^0)$ and $\xi, \eta \in C_c(E^1)$, define

$$\begin{aligned}(a\xi)(e) &= a(r(e))\xi(e) && \text{for every } e \in E^1,\\ (\xi a)(e) &= \xi(e)a(s(e)) && \text{for every } e \in E^1, \text{ and}\\ \langle \xi, \eta\rangle(v) &= \sum_{e\in s^{-1}(v)} \overline{\xi(e)}\eta(e) && \text{for every } v \in E^0.\end{aligned}$$

Define $X(E)$ to be the C^*-correspondence over $C_0(E^0)$ obtained from completing $C_c(E^1)$ and let $C^*(E) = \mathcal{O}(X(E))$.

Remark 3.1. Note that the sum in the definition of $\langle \xi, \eta\rangle$ above is a finite sum. To see this, suppose $v \in E^0$. If $e \in E^1$ is an accumulation point of $s^{-1}(v) \subseteq E^0$, then there is a sequence $(e_n) \subseteq s^{-1}(v)$ such that $e_n \to e.$ with $e_n \neq e$ for every n. Choose an open set $U \subseteq E^1$ such that $e \in U$. Then there is an $n \geq 1$ such that $e_n \in U$. Now, $s(e_n) = s(e)$ and hence $s|_U$ is not injective. This contradicts the fact that s is a local homeomorphism. Therefore $s^{-1}(v)$ has no accumulation points. Thus if $K \subseteq E^1$ is compact, $K \cap s^{-1}(v)$ if finite for every $v \in E^0$. In particular, if $\xi, \eta \in C_c(E^1)$, then $\operatorname{supp}(\xi) \cap s^{-1}(v)$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\eta) \cap s^{-1}(v)$ are finite sets. The claim follows.

Remark 3.2. Given $\xi, \eta \in C_c(E^1)$, it is not immediately obvious that $\langle \xi, \eta\rangle$ is a continuous function on E^0. This is the content of Lemma 1.5 in [8]. The lemma relies heavily on the fact that s is a local homeomorphism.

Example 3.3. When E^0 and E^1 are both discrete, then E is a graph in the sense of [14] and $C^*(E)$ is the usual graph C^*-algebra. □

Example 3.4. Suppose X is a locally compact Hausdorff space and f is a homeomorphism of X. Define a topological graph E by $E^0 = E^1 = X$, $r = \mathrm{id}$ and $s = f$. Then $C^*(E) \cong C(X) \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z}$.

In general one can think of $C^*(E)$ as a crossed product by a partially defined, multi-valued, continuous map $E^0 \to E^0$ given by $v \mapsto r(s^{-1}(v)) \subseteq E^0$ for each $v \in s(E^1) \subseteq E^0$. □

Given $v \in E^0$, we say v is *regular* if there is a neighborhood V of v such that $r^{-1}(V)$ is compact and $r(r^{-1}(V)) = V$. Let E^0_{reg} denote the collection of regular vertices in E^0. Note that E^0_{reg} is the largest open subset $U \subseteq E^0$ such that r restricts to a proper surjection from $r^{-1}(U)$ onto U. Moreover, for each $v \in E^0_{reg}$, the set $r^{-1}(v) \subseteq E^1$ is compact and non-empty. The elements of $E^0_{sng} := E^0 \setminus E^0_{reg}$ are called the *singular vertices* of E. A vertex $v \in E^0$ is called a *sink* if $s^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$ and a *source* if $v \in E^0 \setminus \overline{r(E^1)}$.

Theorem 3.5 (Theorem 1.24 in [8])**.** *For a topological graph E, $J_{X(E)} = C_0(E^0_{reg})$. In particular, a Toepltiz representation (π, τ) of $X(E)$ is covariant if and only if $\pi(a) = \varphi(\lambda(a))$ for each $a \in C_0(E^0_{reg})$.*

A *path* if E is a list of edges $\alpha = e_n e_{n-1} \cdots e_1$ in E^1 such that if $1 \leq k < n$, $r(e_k) = s(e_{k+1})$. If also $r(e_n) = s(e_1)$, then α is called a *loop*. Set $s(\alpha) = s(e_1)$ and $r(\alpha) = r(e_n)$. The integer n is called the *length* of α and is written $n = |\alpha|$. Let E^n denote the collection of all paths in E with length n. Equip E^n with the product topology and let $E^* = \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} E^n$. Then $r : E^* \to E^0$ is continuous and $s : E^* \to E^0$ is a local homeomorphism.

The following is known as the Gauge Invariance Uniqueness Theorem.

Theorem 3.6 (Theorem 4.5 in [8]). *Suppose (π, τ) is a covariant representation of E on a C^*-algebra A and suppose A has a gauge action $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \to \operatorname{Aut} A$ such that $\gamma_z(\pi(a)) = \pi(a)$ for every $a \in C_0(E^0)$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\gamma_z(\tau(\xi)) = z\tau(\xi)$ for every $\xi \in X(E)$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Then the induced map $C^*(E) \to A$ is injective if and only if π is injective.*

4. Weighted Shifts on Directed Trees

All of the results in this section are taken from [6].

Definition 4.1. A *directed tree* is a (countable, discrete) graph E such that

(1) E has no loops,
(2) r is injective, and
(3) E is connected; i.e. given $v, w \in E^0$, there are vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n \in E^0$ such that $v_0 = v$, $v_n = w$, and there are edges $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in E^1$ such that either $s(e_i) = v_i$ and $r(e_i) = v_{i-1}$ or $r(e_i) = v_i$ and $s(e_i) = v_{i-1}$.

The connectedness condition in the above definition says any two vertices should be connected by an *undirected* path.

Fact 4.2. *If E is a directed tree, then E has at most one source.*

Definition 4.3. Suppose E is a directed tree and $\lambda = (\lambda_v)_{v \in E^0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Given $f \in \ell^2(E^0)$, define $\Lambda_E f : E^0 \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(\Lambda_E f)(v) = \begin{cases} \lambda_v f(s(r^{-1}(v))) & v \in r(E^1), \\ 0 & v \notin r(E^1). \end{cases}$$

Now define a (possibly unbounded) operator S_λ on $\ell^2(E^0)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}(S_\lambda) = \{f \in \ell^2(E^0) : \Lambda_E f \in \ell^2(E^0)\}$$

and $S_\lambda f = \Lambda_E f$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}(S_\lambda)$. S_λ is called a *weighted shift* on E.

We are only interested in the case S_λ is bounded. Fortunately, there is a simple characterization of the weights λ which define bounded operators. All the results stated in this section are also true when S_λ is an unbounded densely defined operator.

Theorem 4.4. *If E is a directed tree and $\lambda = (\lambda_v)_{v \in E^0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{D}(S_\lambda) = \ell^2(E^0)$,
(2) $S_\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(E^0))$,
(3) $\sup_{v \in E^0} \sum_{w \in r(s^{-1}(v))} |\lambda_w|^2 < \infty$.

In this case,

$$\|S_\lambda\|^2 = \sup_{v \in E^0} \sum_{w \in r(s^{-1}(v))} |\lambda_w|^2.$$

Example 4.5. Given the graph

$$\cdots \longrightarrow -2 \longrightarrow -1 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

then S_λ is the weighted bilateral shift on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $S_\lambda \delta_n = \lambda_{n+1} \delta_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Example 4.6. Suppose E is the graph below:



For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^4$, we have

$$S_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

□

Theorem 4.7. *Let S_λ be a bounded weighted shift on a directed tree E. Then S_λ has closed range if and only if*

$$\inf\{\|S_\lambda \delta_v\| : v \in E^0 \text{ and } S_\lambda \delta_v \neq 0\} > 0.$$

Theorem 4.8. *Let S_λ be a bounded weighted shift on a directed tree E. Then S_λ is injective if and only if $S_\lambda \delta_v \neq 0$ for every $v \in E^0$.*

Corollary 4.9. *Let S_λ be a bounded weighted shift on a directed tree E. Then S_λ is bounded below if and only if*

$$\varepsilon := \inf\{\|S_\lambda \delta_v\| : v \in E^0\} > 0.$$

In this case, $\|S_\lambda f\| \geq \varepsilon \|f\|$ for every $f \in \ell^2(E^0)$.

It turns out that the Fredholm theory for the weighted shifts S_λ is closely related to the combinatorial structure of the underlying graph. The following theorem gives a simple formula for the index of a Fredholm weighted shift. This result will be very important for understanding finite topological graph algebras since it gives an easy way of constructing left-invertible operators which are not right-invertible.

Theorem 4.10. *Let S_λ be a bounded weighted shift on a directed tree E. Define $X = \{v \in E^0 : S_\lambda \delta_v \neq 0\}$. Then*

(1) $\dim(\ker S_\lambda) = \left|E^0 \setminus X\right|$, *and*
(2) $\dim(\ker S_\lambda^*) = \sum_{v \in X} (|s^{-1}(v)| - 1) + \sum_{v \in E^0 \setminus X} |s^{-1}(v)| + |E^0 \setminus r(E^0)|.$

Corollary 4.11. *Suppose S_λ is a bounded weighted shift on E that is bounded below. Then S_λ is surjective if and only if $r : E^1 \to E^0$ is surjective and $s : E^1 \to E^0$ is injective.*

5. Representations on Directed Trees

Our goal in this section is to build a family of representations of a topological graph on directed trees as defined in the previous section. Roughly, given an infinite path α in E, we will define the "orbit" of α to be a discrete directed tree Γ_α inside the infinite path space E^∞. The elements of $C_0(E^0)$ and $X(E)$ will be represented, respectively, as diagonal operators and weighted shifts on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\Gamma_\alpha)$. The construction is a slight modification of the representations built by Katsura (see Remark 5.1 below).

Let E be a topological graph and set

$$E^\infty := \{\alpha : \mathbb{N} \to E^1 : s(\alpha(n)) = r(\alpha(n+1)) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and given $\alpha \in E^\infty$, define $r(\alpha) = r(\alpha(1))$. An element α of E^∞ is thought of as an infinite path as shown below

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha(3)} \bullet \xrightarrow{\alpha(2)} \bullet \xrightarrow{\alpha(1)} r(\alpha)$$

in E. Given a path $\beta \in E^*$ with $s(\beta) = r(\alpha)$, we define $\beta\alpha \in E^\infty$ by

$$(\beta\alpha)(n) = \begin{cases} \beta(n) & n \leq |\beta| \\ \alpha(n - |\beta|) & n > |\beta|. \end{cases}$$

We think of $\beta\alpha$ as being the path given by "shifting forward" by β. There is also a notion of "backward shift" given by $\sigma : E^\infty \to E^\infty$, $\sigma(\alpha)(n) = \alpha(n+1)$.

For each $\alpha \in E^\infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\Gamma_\alpha^n = \{\beta\sigma^k(\alpha) : k \in \mathbb{N},\, \beta \in E^{n+k},\, s(\beta) = r(\alpha(k+1))\}$$

Set $\Gamma_\alpha = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_\alpha^n$. Note that for each $\alpha \in E^\infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma(\Gamma_\alpha^n) \subseteq \Gamma_\alpha^{n-1}$. The elements of Γ_α are all the infinite paths obtained by taking shifts of α. An element of Γ_α^n is thought of as an infinite path of "length" $|\alpha| + n$.

The set Γ_α can be thought of as a directed tree F where $F^0 = F^1 = \Gamma_\alpha$, $r = \mathrm{id}$, and $s = \sigma$.

Remark 5.1. In [10, Section 12] Katsura used a similar construction to study the primitive ideals in $C^*(E)$. In particular, given $\alpha \in E^\infty$, define

$$\mathrm{Orb}(\alpha) = \{\beta\sigma^k(\alpha) : k \in \mathbb{N},\, \beta \in E^*,\, s(\beta) = r(\alpha(k+1))\} \subseteq E^\infty.$$

As with Γ_α, we may think of $\mathrm{Orb}(\alpha)$ as a (discrete) directed graph. When α is periodic in the sense that there is a loop $\beta \in E^*$ and a path $\gamma \in E^*$ with $\alpha = \gamma\beta^\infty$, then $\mathrm{Orb}(\alpha)$ will have a loop and hence is distinct from Γ_α. When α is aperiodic, there is no difference between $\mathrm{Orb}(\alpha)$ and Γ_α.

Example 5.2. If $e \in E^1$ is a loop; i.e. $s(e) = r(e)$, then we may form an infinite path $\alpha = e^\infty = eee\cdots$. Then in E^∞, $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$, and hence $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ in $\mathrm{Orb}(\alpha)$. However in Γ_α, $\sigma(\alpha)$ and α are viewed as different elements of Γ_α. In particular, $\alpha \in \Gamma_\alpha^0$ and $\sigma(\alpha) \in \Gamma_\alpha^{-1}$. Similarly $e\alpha$ and α are considered to be different elements in Γ_α since $e\alpha \in \Gamma_\alpha^1$. □

Example 5.3. Let E be the graph shown below.



Then $E^\infty = \{e^\infty, fe^\infty, ge^\infty\}$. The graph Γ_{e^∞} is given by



In particular, $\Gamma^n_{e^\infty} = \{(e^\infty, n), (fe^\infty, n), (ge^\infty, n)\}$. On the other hand, the graph $\mathrm{Orb}(e^\infty)$ is given by



□

For $\alpha \in E^\infty$, define $H_\alpha = \ell^2(\Gamma_\alpha)$ and $H^n_\alpha = \ell^2(\Gamma^n_\alpha)$. Then $H_\alpha = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} H^n_\alpha$ and hence H_α may be viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Hilbert space. The $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on H_α, induces a natural gauge action on $\mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$ which we now describe. For each $z \in \mathbb{T}$, define $U_{\alpha,z} \in \mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$ by $U_{\alpha,z}h = z^n h$ for each $h \in H^n_\alpha$. Now set $\gamma_{\alpha,z} = \mathrm{Ad}(U_{\alpha,z}) \in \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$. It is easy to verify $\gamma_\alpha : \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$ is a point-norm continuous group homomorphism.

We will build a gauge invariant representation $\psi_\alpha : C^*(E) \to \mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in E^\infty$. Fix $\alpha \in E^\infty$. Define maps $\pi_\alpha : C_0(E^0) \to \mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$ and $\tau_\alpha : C_c(E^1) \to \mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$ by

$$\pi_\alpha(a)\delta_\beta = a(r(\beta))\delta_\beta, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau_\alpha(\xi)\delta_\beta = \sum_{e\in s^{-1}(r(\beta))} \xi(e)\delta_{e\beta}.$$

for every $a \in C_0(E^0)$, $\xi \in X(E)$, and $\beta \in \Gamma_\alpha$.

Although our orbit space Γ_α is slightly different than Katusra's orbit space $\mathrm{Orb}(\alpha)$ (see Remark 5.1), the definition of $(\pi_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ and the proof that this is a covariant Toeplitz representation is identical to Katusra's proof in [10, Section 12]. For the readers convenience we outline a proof.

A routine (but slightly tedious) argument shows that $(\pi_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ is a Toeplitz representation. Let $\varphi_\alpha : \mathbb{K}(X(E)) \to \mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$ be the *-homomorphism given by $\varphi_\alpha(\theta_{\xi,\eta}) = \tau_\alpha(\xi)\tau_\alpha(\eta)^*$. To show $(\pi_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ is covariant, we must show $\pi_\alpha(a) = \varphi_\alpha(\lambda(a))$ whenever $a \in C_c(E^0_{reg})$.

Suppose $a \in C_c(E^0_{reg})$. Since $\mathrm{supp}(a)$ is a compact subset of E^0_{reg}, the set $K = r^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}(a)) \subseteq E^1$ is compact. Choose $e_1, \ldots, e_m \in K$ and relatively compact open sets $U_1, \ldots, U_m \subseteq K$ such that $e_i \in U_i$, $s|_{U_i}$ is a homeomorphism, and $K \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \cdots \cup U_m$. Choose continuous functions $\zeta_i \in C_c(E^1)$ such that $0 \le \zeta_i \le 1$, $\mathrm{supp}\,\zeta_i \subseteq U_i$, and $\zeta_1(e) + \cdots \zeta_m(e) = 1$ for each $e \in K$.

For $i = 1, \ldots, m$, set $\xi_i = (a \circ r)\zeta_i^{1/2}$ and $\eta_i = \zeta_i^{1/2}$. Note that for $e \in E^1$ and $\zeta \in C_c(E^1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_{\xi_i,\eta_i}(\zeta)(e) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i(e) \sum_{f\in s^{-1}(s(e))} \overline{\eta_i(f)}\zeta(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i(e)\overline{\eta_i(e)}\zeta(e) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m a(r(e))\zeta_i(e)\zeta(e) = a(r(e))\zeta(e) = \lambda(a)(\zeta)(e).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_{\xi_i,\eta_i}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\alpha(\lambda(a))\delta_\beta &= \sum_{i=1}^m \tau_\alpha(\xi_i)\tau_\alpha(\eta_i)^*\delta_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^m \tau_\alpha(\xi_i)\overline{\eta_i(\beta(1))}\delta_{\sigma(\beta)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{e\in s^{-1}(r(\sigma(\beta)))} \xi_i(e)\overline{\eta_i(\beta(1))}\delta_{e\sigma(\beta)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i(\beta(1))\overline{\eta_i(\beta(1))}\delta_\beta \\ &= a(r(\beta(1)))\delta_\beta = a(r(\beta))\delta_\beta = \pi_\alpha(a)\delta_\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the Toeplitz representation $(\pi_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ is covariant. Let $\psi_\alpha : C^*(E) \to \mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$ be the associated representation.

Since $(\pi_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ is covariant representation, there is an associated representation $\psi_\alpha : C^*(E) \to \mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$.

If $\alpha \in E^*$ with $s(\alpha) \in E^0_{sng}$, with the obvious modifications we can build $\psi_\alpha : C^*(E) \to \mathbb{B}(H_\alpha)$ as before; in this case, $H_\alpha^{-n} = 0$ for $n > |\alpha|$. For the details see [10, Section 12]. Let $E^{\leq\infty} = E^\infty \cup \{\alpha \in E^* : s(\alpha) \in E^0_{sng}\}$. Define

$$H^n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in E^{\leq\infty}} H^n_\alpha, \qquad \text{and} \qquad H = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in E^{\leq\infty}} H_\alpha = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} H^n.$$

Then we have a representation of $C^*(E)$ on $\mathbb{B}(H)$ given by

$$\psi = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in E^{\leq\infty}} \psi_\alpha : C^*(E) \to \mathbb{B}(H).$$

We claim ψ is injective. Note that the map $\bigoplus_\alpha \pi_\alpha : C_0(E^0) \to C^*(E)$ is injective since for every $v \in E^0$, there is an $\alpha \in E^{\leq\infty}$ such that $r(\alpha) = v$. Moreover, ψ_α is gauge invariant for each $\alpha \in E^\infty$ since for $a \in C_0(E^0)$, $\pi_\alpha(a)(H^n_\alpha) \subseteq H^n_\alpha$ and for $\xi \in X(E)$, $\tau_\alpha(\xi)(H^n_\alpha) \subseteq H^{n+1}_\alpha$. Hence ψ is injective by the Gauge Invariant Uniqueness Theorem (Theorem 3.6).

6. Finiteness

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ is a compact topological graph with no sinks; i.e. E^0 and E^1 are compact and s is surjective. If $C^*(E)$ is finite, then s is a homeomorphism and r is surjective.*

Proof. Since E^1 is compact, $1 \in C(E^1)$. With the notation from the previous section, define $T_\alpha = \tau_\alpha(1) \in \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(H_\alpha))$ for $\alpha \in E^{\leq\infty}$ and define $T = \bigoplus_\alpha T_\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(H)$. Recall that $\psi = \bigoplus_\alpha \psi_\alpha$ is a faithful representation of $C^*(E)$. Identifying $C^*(E)$ with the range of ψ, we have $C^*(E) \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ and $T \in C^*(E)$.

Fix $\alpha \in E^{\leq\infty}$. Then Γ_α can be viewed as a directed tree (F^0, F^1, r, s) by setting $F^0 = F^1 = \Gamma_\alpha$, $r = \mathrm{id}$, and $s = \sigma$. Then T_α is a weighted shift on Γ_α with constant weights 1. For each $\beta \in \Gamma_\alpha$,

$$\|T_\alpha\delta_\beta\| = \left\| \sum_{e\in s^{-1}(r(\beta))} \delta_{e\beta} \right\| = |s^{-1}(r(\beta))|^{1/2} \geq 1$$

since E has no sinks. Therefore, by Corollary 4.9, T_α is bounded below by 1 and hence there is an $S_\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(\Gamma_\alpha))$ with $S_\alpha T_\alpha = 1$ and $\|S_\alpha\| \leq 1$.

Set $S = \bigoplus_\alpha S_\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(H)$. Then $ST = 1$ and T is left-invertible. Since $C^*(E)$ is finite, we also have T is right invertible. Therefore, $T_\alpha S_\alpha = 1$ and T_α is surjective for every $\alpha \in E^{\leq\infty}$. If $v \in E^0$ is a source, then $v \in \Gamma_v$ is also a source. But this contradicts Corollary 4.11 since T_α is surjective. Therefore, r is surjective.

Similarly, if $e, f \in E^1$ with $s(e) = s(f)$, then choose $\alpha \in E^{\leq\infty}$ with $r(\alpha) = s(e)$. Now, $e\alpha, f\alpha \in \Gamma_\alpha$ and $\sigma(e\alpha) = \sigma(f\alpha)$. Since T_α is surjective, $\sigma|_{\Gamma_\alpha}$ is injective by Corollary 4.11. Hence $e\alpha = f\alpha$ and $e = f$. Thus s is injective. □

Remark 6.2. The converse of Theorem 6.1 fails. Take $E^0 = E^1 = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ with the usual topology and define $r(n) = n$ and $s(n) = n + 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. Then r and s are both homeomorphisms but $C^*(E)$ is infinite as can be seen from Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 6.7 below.

We will show that when $C^*(E)$ is finite, $C^*(E) \cong C(E^\infty) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$. First we establish a simple lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose E is a compact topological graph with no sinks. If s is injective and r is surjective, then the maps $\rho_n : E^\infty \to E^0$ given by $\rho_n(\alpha) = r(\alpha(n))$ induce a homeomorphism $\rho : E^\infty \to \varprojlim (E^0, r \circ s^{-1})$.*

Proof. It is clear that $r \circ s^{-1} \circ \rho_{n+1} = \rho_n$ for every n and hence ρ is well-defined. Since ρ_n is surjective for every n, ρ is also surjective. Since E^∞ is compact and Hausdorff, it suffices to show ρ is injective. But if $\rho(\alpha) = \rho(\beta)$ for every $\alpha = \beta$, then $\rho_n(\alpha) = \rho_n(\beta)$ for every n; i.e. $r(\alpha(n)) = r(\beta(n))$ for every n. Now,

$$\alpha(n) = s^{-1}(r(\alpha(n+1))) = s^{-1}(r(\beta(n+1))) = \beta(n)$$

for every n and $\alpha = \beta$. □

Theorem 6.4. *Suppose E is a compact topological graph with no sinks. If s is injective and r is surjective (e.g. if $C^*(E)$ is finite), then $\sigma : E^\infty \to E^\infty$ is a homeomorphism and there is a natural isomorphism $C^*(E) \to C(E^\infty) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Since r is injective and s is surjective, σ is a homeomorphism. Let u be a unitary in $C(E^\infty) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$ such that $uau^* = a \circ \sigma$ for each $a \in C(E^\infty)$. Define $\pi : C(E^0) \to C(E^\infty) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau : C(E^1) \to C(E^\infty) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$ by $\pi(a) = a \circ r$ and $\tau(\xi) = (\xi \circ \mathrm{ev}_1)u$ for $a \in C(E^0)$ and $\xi \in C(E^1)$, where $\mathrm{ev}_1 : E^\infty \to E^1$ is the evaluation map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha(1)$.

A tedious but straight forward computation show (π, τ) is a covariant representation and hence induces a morphism $\psi : C^*(E) \to C(E^\infty) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$. By the Gauge Invariance Uniqueness Theorem, ψ is injective. It remains to show surjectivity. Note that $\tau(1) = u$. Hence, with the notation of Lemma 6.3, it suffices to show $a \circ \rho_n$ is in the range of ψ for every $a \in C(E^0)$ and $n \geq 1$. But

$$a \circ \rho_n = a \circ r \circ \sigma^{n-1} = u^{n-1}(a \circ r)u^{1-n} = \tau(1)^{n-1}\pi(a)\tau(1)^{1-n}.$$

Hence ψ is surjective. □

Using Theorem 6.4 together with Theorem 1.1), we will be able to characterize the AF-embeddability of $C^*(E)$ for compact graphs E with no sinks. First we need a simple lemma regarding pseduoperiodic points (see condition (5) of Theorem 1.1 for the definition).

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose X is a compact metric space and $f : X \to X$ is continuous and surjective. Define $\widehat{X} = \varprojlim (X, f)$ and let $\widehat{f}$ denote the homeomorphism of $\widehat{X}$ induced by f. Then every point of (X, f) is pseudoperiodic if and only if every point of $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{f})$ is pseudoperiodic.*

Proof. Write

$$\widehat{X} = \left\{ (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X : x_n = f(x_{n+1}) \text{ for every } n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Then $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}$ is given by $\widehat{f}((x_n)_n) = (f(x_n))_n$. Let d be any fixed metric on X with $d(x, y) \leq 1$ for every $x, y \in X$. Then $\widehat{X}$ is a metric space with metric

$$\widehat{d}((x_n), (y_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} d(x_n, y_n).$$

Suppose first every point of $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{f})$ is pseduoperiodic. Fix $x_1 \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is surjective, there is an $\widehat{x}_1 \in \widehat{X}$ with $\widehat{x}_1(1) = x_1$. Choose $\widehat{x}_2, \widehat{x}_3, \ldots, \widehat{x}_n$ such that $\widehat{d}(\widehat{f}(\widehat{x}_i), \widehat{x}_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Define $x_i = \widehat{x}_i(1)$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) = d(\widehat{f}(\widehat{x}_i)(1), \widehat{x}_{i+1}(1)) \leq 2\widehat{d}(\widehat{f}(\widehat{x}_i), \widehat{x}_{i+1}) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence x_1 is pseudoperiodic.

Now suppose every point of (X, f) is pseudoperiodic. Fix $\widehat{x}_1 \in \widehat{X}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By the uniform continuity of f, we may find a sequence $\delta_1 = \varepsilon > \delta_2 > \delta_3 > \cdots > 0$ such that $d(f(x), f(y)) < \delta_n$ whenever $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta_{n+1}$.

Choose $N \geq 1$ such that $2^{-N} < \varepsilon$. Since $y_1 := \widehat{x}_1(N)$ is pseduoperiodic point for (X, f), there are points $y_2, \ldots y_n \in \widehat{X}$ such that $d(y_i, y_{i+1}) < \delta_N$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since f is surjective, there are points $\widehat{x}_2, \widehat{x}_3, \ldots, \widehat{x}_n \in \widehat{X}$ such that $\widehat{x}_i(N) = y_i$. Now it is to easy see $d(\widehat{x}_i, \widehat{x}_{i+1}) < 2\varepsilon$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and hence $\widehat{x}_1$ is pseudoperiodic. □

The next definition will give our "combinatorial" characterization of finiteness for topological graph algebras. It can be thought of as a graph theoretic version of pseduoperiodic points.

Definition 6.6. Suppose E is a topological graph and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let d be a metric on E^0 compatible with the topology. An *ε-pseudopath* in E is a finite sequence $\alpha = (e_n, \ldots, e_1)$ in E^1 such that for each $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $d(r(e_i), s(e_{i+1})) < \varepsilon$. We write $s(\alpha) = s(e_1)$ and $r(\alpha) = r(e_n)$. An ε-pseduopath α is called an *ε-pseduoloop based at $s(\alpha)$* if $d(r(\alpha), s(\alpha)) < \varepsilon$.

Theorem 6.7. *If E is a compact graph with no sinks, then the following are equivalent:*

1. *$C^*(E)$ is AF-embeddable;*
2. *$C^*(E)$ is quasidiagonal;*
3. *$C^*(E)$ is stably finite;*
4. *$C^*(E)$ is finite;*
5. *s is injective and for every $v \in E^0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an ε-pseudoloop in E based at v.*

Proof. The implications (1) ⇒ (2) ⇒ (3) ⇒ (4) are true for arbitrary C^*-algebras [3, Propositions 7.1.9, 7.1.10, and 7.1.15]). If $C^*(E)$ is finite, then by Theorem 6.4 $C^*(E) \cong C(E^\infty) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 1.1, every point in E^∞ is pseudoperiodic for σ and hence every point of E^0 is pseduoperiodic for $r \circ s^{-1}$ by Lemmas 6.3 and 6.5. Fix a metric d on E^0. Given $v \in E^0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $v_1 = v, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in E^0$ such that $d(r(s^{-1}(v_i)), v_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Set $e_i = s^{-1}(v_i)$. Then $(e_n, \ldots, e_2, e_1)$ is a pseduoloop in E based at v.

Now suppose (5) holds. Condition (5) implies r has dense range and since E^1 is compact, r is surjective. Now, $C^*(E) \cong C(E^\infty) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$ by Theorem 6.4. Given $v \in E^0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, choose an ε-pseduoloop $(e_n, \ldots, e_1)$ based at v. Set $v_i = s(e_i)$ and note that $d(r(s^{-1}(v_i)), v_{i+1}) = d(r(e_i), s(e_{i+1})) < \varepsilon$. Hence by Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 6.5, $C^*(E)$ is AF-embeddable. □

Remark 6.8. In Theorem 6.7, the assumptions that E is compact and has no sinks are necessary even when E is discrete. Consider the graphs below

In both cases, the C^*-algebra defined by the graph is finite but s is not injective.

References

[1] B. Blackadar, E. Kirchberg, Generalized inductive limits of finite dimensional C^*-algebras, *Math. Ann.* **307**(1997), 343–380.

[2] N. P. Brown, AF embeddability of crossed products of AF algebras by the integers, *J. Funct. Anal.* **160**(1998), 150–175.

[3] N. P. Brown, N. Ozawa, *C^*-Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **88**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[4] M.D. Choi, The full C-algebra of the free group on two generators, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **87**(1980), 41–48.

[5] D. Hadwin, Strongly quasidiagonal C^*-algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **18**(1987), 3-18. With an appendix by Jonathan Rosenberg.

[6] Z. Jabłoński, I. Jung, J. Stochel, Weighted shifts on directed trees, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **216** (2012), no. 1017.

[7] T. Katsura, A construction of C^*-algebras from C^*-correspondences, *Advances in Quantum Dynamics,* 173-182, Contemp. Math., **335**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[8] ———, A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both graph algebra and homeomorphism C^*-algebras I, fundamental results, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 4287-4322.

[9] ———, A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both graph algebra and homeomorphism C^*-algebras II, examples, *Int. J. Math.* **17** (2006), 791-833.

[10] ———, A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both graph algebra and homeomorphism C^*-algebras III, ideal structures, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **26** (2006), 1805-1854.

[11] ———, A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both graph algebra and homeomorphism C^*-algebras IV, pure infinitenss, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), 1161-1187.

[12] M. Pimsner, Embedding some transformation group C^*-algebras into AF-algebras, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **3** (1983), 613–626.

[13] ———, A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}$. *Free probability theory,* 189-212, Fields Inst. Commun., **12**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[14] I. Raeburn, *Graph Algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **103** Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington D.C. by the AMS, Providence, RI (2005).

[15] C. Schafhauser, AF-embeddings of graph C^*-algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, to appear (arXiv:1406.7757 [math.OA]).

[16] S. Wassermann, On Tensor Products of Certain Group C^*-algebras, *J. Functional Analysis* **23**(1976), 239–254.

Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska – Lincoln, 203 Avery Hall, Lincoln, NE 68588-0130

E-mail address: cschafhauser2@math.unl.edu